

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/762,826		01/21/2004	Lai Wa Helen Chan	P/4076-65	6092	
2352	7590	01/24/2005		EXAMINER		
05111022		ER GERB & SOFF	KOCH, GEORGE R			
	1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS NEW YORK, NY 100368403			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,			1734		

DATE MAILED: 01/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				— <u>~</u>			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	200	10/762,826	CHAN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		George R. Koch III	1734				
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	s			
A SH THE - Exte after - If th - If NO - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thin period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON y statute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this commun ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
Status							
1)[🔀]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	10 November 2004.					
2a)□	•	This action is non-final.					
3)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
· ·							
4) 🔀	4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	thorawn from consideration.					
' =	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.		·				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
•	0)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
<i>,</i> —	Applicant may not request that any objection	•	•				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	* , ,	• •	121(d)			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	,	, , <u>,</u>				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119	-					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		•				
	1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received in A	pplication No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	e priority documents have been	received in this National Stag	e			
	application from the International E		_				
* (See the attached detailed Office action for	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received.				
Attachmer	• •	_					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔯 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9- mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/	SB/08) / 5) Notice of I	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152))			
Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/21/04</u> .	6)	<u></u> .				

Application/Control Number: 10/762,826 Page 2

Art Unit: 1734

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of group I, claims 1-13 in the reply filed on 11/10/2004 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Namerikawa '423 (US 6,523,423 B1).

As to claim 1, Namerikawa '423 discloses a force sensor capable of being used as an apparatus for aligning a bonding tool, the force sensor comprising a plurality of force sensing sections (see columns 6-11, which discuss distributions of stress), each section being capable of individually detecting an amount of force from a part of a bonding tool acting on that sensing section.

Application/Control Number: 10/762,826

Art Unit: 1734

As to claim 2, Namerikawa '423 discloses a collection of piezoelectric ceramic material (see column 6, lines 40-54 and column 14, lines 26-37) contained in each sensing section for piezoelectrically detecting the force exerted on that sensing section.

As to claim 12, Namerikawa '423 discloses that the force sensor comprises a ring with a hollow center (see Figure 1a).

As to claim 13, Namerikawa '423 discloses that each sensing area is of substantially equally size (see Figure 1a).

4. Claims 1-4, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Namerikawa '555 (US 6,347,555 B1).

As to claim 1, Namerikawa '555 discloses a force sensor capable of being used as an apparatus for aligning a bonding tool, the force sensor comprising a plurality of force sensing sections (see Figure 1), each section being capable of individually detecting an amount of force from a part of a bonding tool acting on that sensing section.

As to claim 2, Namerikawa '555 discloses a collection of piezoelectric ceramic material (see column 5, lines 6-14) contained in each sensing section for piezoelectrically detecting the force exerted on that sensing section.

As to claim 3, Namerikawa '555 discloses transmitting material (electrodes 40) comprising a plurality of individual electrical conductors coupled to the force sensor such that the positions of the electrical conductions coincide with the positions of the force sensing sections (see Figures 1, 7 and 8 and column 9-10, the description of

Application/Control Number: 10/762,826 Page 4

Art Unit: 1734

Example 1) and channel current produced by each respective sensing section to a respective output terminal (see connection to item 44, Figure 11, and column 9).

As to claim 4, Namerikawa '555 discloses that the transmitting material is coupled to an electronic circuit (see item 44 and column 9) to which the output terminals are connect for measuring the current produced by each sensing section.

As to claim 12, Namerikawa '555 discloses that the force sensor comprises a ring with a hollow center (see Figure 1).

As to claim 13, Namerikawa '555 discloses that each sensing area is of substantially equally size (see Figure 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

7. Claims 1-5 and 8-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sato (US 5,985,064) in view of either of Namerikawa '423 or Namerikawa '555.

Sato discloses an apparatus for aligning a bonding tool, comprising a force sensor (load sensor 33, see Figure 1, and column 3, line 66 to column 4, line 30) configured to measure a force generated by the bonding tool (Figure 1, entire picture) on the force sensor.

Sato does not suggest that the force sensor comprises a plurality of force sensing sections, each sensing section being adapted to individually detect an amount of force from a part of the bonding tool acting on that sensing section.

Both Namerikawa '423 and Namerikawa '555 disclose a force sensor capable of being used as an apparatus for aligning a bonding tool, the force sensor comprising a plurality of force sensing sections (see Figures 1, 7 and 8 of '555 and column 6-11 of '423), each section being capable of individually detecting an amount of force from a part of force generating element acting on that sensing section. One in the art would immediately appreciate that such a sensor would provide finer feedback and bonding control. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have utilized the sensors of Namerikawa '423 or '555 in order to achieve greater bonding control.

As to claim 2, Namerikawa '423 and '555 as incorporated discloses a collection of piezoelectric ceramic material (see citations abouve) contained in each sensing section for piezoelectrically detecting the force exerted on that sensing section.

As to claim 3, Namerikawa '555 as incorporated discloses transmitting material (electrodes 40) comprising a plurality of individual electrical conductors coupled to the force sensor such that the positions of the electrical conductions coincide with the positions of the force sensing sections (see Figures 1, 7 and 8 and column 9-10, the description of Example 1) and channel current produced by each respective sensing section to a respective output terminal (see connection to item 44, Figure 11, and column 9).

As to claim 4, Namerikawa '555 as incorporated discloses that the transmitting material is coupled to an electronic circuit (see item 44 and column 9) to which the output terminals are connect for measuring the current produced by each sensing section.

As to claim 5, none of the references disclose that the polyimide film is the transmitting material. However, official notice is taken that polyimide films are well known and conventional circuitry materials in sensor applications. Polyimide films provide easy sensor manufacturing properties and weight properties, improving sensor performance. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize such materials in order to achieve improved size, weight and performance in the sensor.

As to claim 8, Sato discloses that the force sensor (or load sensor) is couple to the bonding tool (see Figure 1).

As to claim 9, Sato as modified by the load sensors of Namerikawa '423 or '555 discloses that the bonding tool includes a collet assembly (for example, items 2, 3, 7, 8,

Art Unit: 1734

10, 20, 30 and 35) and the force sensor (item 33) is coupled to the collet assembly (as shown in Figure 1) whereby each sensing section is adapted to detect a reaction force action on a part of the collet assembly upon application of a force by the bonding tool on a bonding surface (and see column 4, lines 15-31).

As to claim 10, Sato discloses that the load or force sensor should be coupled to the collet assembly axially opposite a port between the collet assembly and the bonding surface (see Figure 1).

As to claim 11, the weight of the assembly exerts a pre-load force on the force sensor.

As to claim 12, Namerikawa '555 as incorporated discloses that the force sensor comprises a ring with a hollow center (see Figure 1).

As to claim 13, Namerikawa '555 as incorporated discloses that each sensing area is of substantially equally size (see Figure 1).

8. Claims 1-7 and 12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mizutani (JP 2000-369072) in view of either of Namerikawa '423 or Namerikawa '555.

Mizutani discloses an apparatus for aligning a bonding tool, comprising a force sensor (load sensor 25, see Figure 1) configured to measure a force generated by the bonding tool (Figure 1, entire picture) on the force sensor.

Application/Control Number: 10/762,826

Art Unit: 1734

Mizutani does not suggest that the force sensor comprises a plurality of force sensing sections, each sensing section being adapted to individually detect an amount of force from a part of the bonding tool acting on that sensing section.

Both Namerikawa '423 and Namerikawa '555 disclose a force sensor capable of being used as an apparatus for aligning a bonding tool, the force sensor comprising a plurality of force sensing sections (see Figures 1, 7 and 8 of '555 and column 6-11 of '423), each section being capable of individually detecting an amount of force from a part of force generating element acting on that sensing section. One in the art would immediately appreciate that such a sensor would provide finer feedback and bonding control. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have utilized the sensors of Namerikawa '423 or '555 in order to achieve greater bonding control.

As to claim 2, Namerikawa '423 and '555 as incorporated discloses a collection of piezoelectric ceramic material (see citations abouve) contained in each sensing section for piezoelectrically detecting the force exerted on that sensing section.

As to claim 3, Namerikawa '555 as incorporated discloses transmitting material (electrodes 40) comprising a plurality of individual electrical conductors coupled to the force sensor such that the positions of the electrical conductions coincide with the positions of the force sensing sections (see Figures 1, 7 and 8 and column 9-10, the description of Example 1) and channel current produced by each respective sensing section to a respective output terminal (see connection to item 44, Figure 11, and column 9).

Application/Control Number: 10/762,826

Art Unit: 1734

As to claim 4, Namerikawa '555 as incorporated discloses that the transmitting material is coupled to an electronic circuit (see item 44 and column 9) to which the output terminals are connect for measuring the current produced by each sensing section.

As to claim 5, none of the references disclose that the polyimide film is the transmitting material. However, official notice is taken that polyimide films are well known and conventional circuitry materials in sensor applications. Polyimide films provide easy sensor manufacturing properties and weight properties, improving sensor performance. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize such materials in order to achieve improved size, weight and performance in the sensor.

As to claim 6, Mizutani discloses that the force sensor is located at an alignment station (i.e., the lower portion, see Figure 1) spaced from the bonding tool, and the bonding tool (item 27, chip holder) is positionable onto the alignment station for alignment (and paragraph 0021, for example).

As to claim 7, the weight of the alignment stage functions as a biasing member to exert a preload force on the force sensor.

As to claim 12, Namerikawa '555 as incorporated discloses that the force sensor comprises a ring with a hollow center (see Figure 1).

As to claim 13, Namerikawa '555 as incorporated discloses that each sensing area is of substantially equally size (see Figure 1).

Art Unit: 1734

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George R. Koch III whose telephone number is (571) 272-1230 (TDD only). If the applicant cannot make a direct TDD-to-TDD call, the applicant can communicate by calling the Federal Relay Service at 1-866-377-8642 and giving the operator the above TDD number. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 10-7.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Fiorilla can be reached on (571) 272-1187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

George R. Koch fill Patent Examiner Art Unit 1734

GRK 1/17/2005